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## $\bullet \circ$ <br> Importance of Schedule

"We need to maintain our attention on schedule delivery. Data tells us that since July 2003, real cost increase in projects accounted for less than 3 percent of the total cost growth.
...Therefore, our problem is not cost, it is SCHEDULE."

- Dr. Steve Gumley, CEO

Defence Materiel Organization (Australia)
Quote taken from DMO Bulletin, July 2006, Issue 61, page

## Overview

- Earned Schedule Concept
- Calculation of Earned Schedule
- Time-Based Schedule Indicators
- Project Duration Prediction \& Forecasting
- Critical Path Analysis
- Network Schedule Analysis
o Demonstration of the ES Spreadsheet


## Earned Value Basics



## EVM Schedule Indicators

- SV \& SPI behave erratically for projects behind schedule
- SPI improves and equals 1.00 at end of project
- SV improves and concludes at $\$ 0$ variance
- Schedule indicators lose predictive ability over the last third of the project
- Why does this happen?
- $S V=E V-P V$

At planned completion PV = BAC

- SPI = EV / PV

At actual completion EV = BAC

## Earned Schedule Concept



For the above example, $\mathrm{ES}=5$ months ...that is the time associated with the PMB at which PV equals the EV accrued at month 7.

## Earned Schedule Metric

- Required measures
- Performance Measurement Baseline (PMB) - the time phased planned values (PV) from project start to completion
- Earned Value (EV) - the planned value which has been "earned"
- Actual Time (AT) - the actual time duration from the project beginning to the time at which project status is assessed
- All measures available from EVM


## Earned Schedule Calculation

- ES (cumulative) is the:

Number of complete PV time increments EV equals or exceeds + the fraction of the incomplete PV increment

- ES = C + I where:
$\mathrm{C}=$ number of time increments for $\mathrm{EV} \geq \mathrm{PV}$
$I=\left(E V-P V_{C}\right) /\left(P V_{C+1}-P V_{C}\right)$


## Interpolation Calculation



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I} / 1 \mathrm{mo}=\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q} \\
& \mathrm{I}=(\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}) * 1 \mathrm{mo}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
p=E V-P V_{C}
$$

$$
q=P V_{C+1}-P V_{C}
$$

$$
I=\frac{E V-P V_{C}}{P V_{C+1}-P V_{C}} * 1 m o
$$
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## Earned Schedule Indicators

- Schedule Variance:

$$
\mathrm{SV}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{ES}-\mathrm{AT}
$$

- Schedule Performance Index:
SPI(t) = ES / AT
where AT is "Actual Time" - the duration from start to time now
- SV(t) and SPI(t) are time-based (months, weeks ...)


## ES Computation Example
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## Earned Schedule Indicators

- What happens to the ES indicators, SV(t) \& $\mathrm{SPI}(\mathrm{t})$, when the planned project duration (PD) is exceeded ( $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{BAC}$ )?

They Still Work ... Correctly!!

- ES will be $\leq$ PD, while AT > PD
- SV(t) will be negative (time behind schedule)
- $\operatorname{SPI}(\mathrm{t})$ will be $<1.00$

Reliable Values from Start to Finish !!
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## SPI Comparison




## Late Finish Project



## Schedule Prediction

- Can the project be completed as planned?
- TSPI = Plan Remaining / Time Remaining
= (PD - ES) / (PD - AT)
where $\quad P D$ is the planned duration (time at BAC)
$(P D-E S)=P D W R$
PDWR = Planned Duration for Work Remaining
- ...completed as estimated?
- TSPI = (PD - ES) / (ED - AT)
where ED = Estimated Duration

| TSPI Value |  | Predicted Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\leq 1.00$ |  | Achievable |
| 18 | $>1.10$ |  |
| Copyright © Lipke 2009 |  |  |

## Schedule Forecasting

- Long time goal of EVM ...Prediction of total project duration from present schedule status
- Independent Estimate at Completion (time)
- $\operatorname{IEAC}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{PD} / \mathrm{SPI}(\mathrm{t})$
- $\operatorname{IEAC}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{AT}+(\mathrm{PD}-\mathrm{ES}) / \mathrm{PF}(\mathrm{t})$ where $\operatorname{PF}(\mathrm{t})$ is the Performance Factor (time)
- Analogous to IEAC used to forecast final cost
- Independent Estimated Completion Date (IECD)
- IECD $=$ Start Date + IEAC( t$)$


## Performance Confirmation

- $\operatorname{SPI}(\mathrm{t}) \& \operatorname{SV}(\mathrm{t})$ do portray the real schedule performance
- At early \& middle project stages pre-ES \& ES forecasts of project duration produce similar results
- At late project stage ES forecasts outperform all pre-ES forecasts
- "The use of the SPI(t) in conjunction with the TSPI has been demonstrated to be useful for managing the schedule." Stephan Vandevoorde - Fabricom Airport Systems, Belgium
- "The results reveal that the earned schedule method outperforms, on the average, all other forecasting methods." Dr. Mario Vanhoucke \& Stephan Vandevoorde


## $\bigcirc$ <br> Research Results

## Forecast Accuracy and the Completion of Work

Simulation runs performed: 1 run project finish ahead of schedule, 1 run projects finish behind


Vanhoucke M., S. Vandevoorde, "A simulation and evaluation of earned value metrics to forecast the project duration," Journal Of Operations Research Society September 2006

-     - Research Results

| Hypothesis Test Results - EVM vs ES Time Forecast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Significance$\alpha=0.05$ |  | ********** |  | Percent Complete Test Bands |  |  | ********** |  |
|  |  | 10\% - 40\% | 40\% -70\% | 70\% - 100\% | 10\% - 100\% | 25\% - 100\% | 50\% - 100\% | 75\% - 100\% |
| Test Statistic Sign Test |  | 0.0000 | 0.0267 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | Ha | Ha | Ha | Ha | Ha | Ha | Ha |
| Count \#1s | ES | 11 | 7 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 12 |
|  | EVM | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 |

Hypothesis Test: Sign Test at 0.05 level of significance.
Ho: The aggregate of EVM forecasts is better / the null hypothesis
Ha: ES forecast is better / the alternate hypothesis

## Earned Schedule Terminology

|  | EVM | Earned Schedule |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status | Earned Value (EV) | Earned Schedule (ES) |
|  | Actual Costs (AC) | Actual Time (AT) |
|  | SV | SV(t) |
|  | SPI | SPI(t) |
| Future Work | Budgeted Cost for Work Remaining (BCWR) | Planned Duration for Work Remaining (PDWR) |
|  | Estimate to Complete (ETC) | Estimate to Complete (time) ETC(t) |
| Prediction | Variance at Completion (VAC) | Variance at Completion (time) VAC(t) |
|  | Estimate at Completion (EAC) (supplier) | Estimate at Completion (time) EAC(t) (supplier) |
|  | Independent EAC (IEAC) (customer) | Independent EAC (time) IEAC(t) (customer) |
|  | To Complete Performance Index (TCPI) | To Complete Schedule Performance Index (TSPI) |

## Earned Schedule Terminology

| Metrics | Earned Schedule | $E S_{\text {cum }}$ | ES = C + I <br> number of complete periods (C) plus an incomplete portion (I) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual Time | $\mathrm{AT}_{\text {cum }}$ | AT = number of periods executed |
| Indicators | Schedule Variance | SV(t) | $\mathbf{S V}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{ES}-\mathrm{AT}$ |
|  |  | SV(t)\% | $\mathbf{S V}(\mathrm{t}) \%=(E S-A T) / E S$ |
|  | Schedule Performance Index | SPI(t) | $\mathbf{S P I}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{ES} / \mathrm{AT}$ |
|  | To Complete Schedule <br> Performance Index | TSPI(t) | TSPI $(t)=(P D-E S) /(P D-A T)$ |
|  |  |  | TSPI(t) $=(\mathrm{PD}-\mathrm{ES}) /(E D-A T)$ |
| Predictors | Independent Estimate at Completion (time) | IEAC(t) | $\operatorname{IEAC}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{PD} / \mathrm{SPI}(\mathrm{t})$ |
|  |  |  | $\operatorname{IEAC}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{AT}+(\mathrm{PD}-\mathrm{ES}) / \mathrm{PF}$ |

## Earned Schedule Key Points

- ES Indicators constructed to behave in an analogous manner to the EVM Cost Indicators, CV and CPI
- SV(t) and SPI(t)
- Not constrained by PV calculation reference
- Provide duration based measures of schedule performance
- Valid for entire project, including early and late finish
- Facilitates integrated Cost/Schedule Management (using EVM with ES)


## Schedule Analysis with EVM?

- Most practitioners analyze schedule from the bottom up using the network schedule, independent from EVM
...."It is the only way possible."
- Analysis of the Schedule is overwhelming
- Critical Path is used to shorten analysis
(CP is longest path of the schedule)
- Duration forecasting using Earned Schedule provides a macro-method similar to the method for estimating Cost
- A significant advance in practice
- But, there's more that ES facilitates ....


## Facilitates Drill-Down Analysis

- ES can be applied to any level of the WBS, to include task groupings such as the Critical Path
- Requires creating PMB for the area of interest
- EV for the area of interest is used to determine its ES
- Enables comparison of forecasts, total project (TP) to Critical Path (CP)
- Desired result: forecasts are equal
- When TP forecast > CP forecast, CP has changed
- When CP > TP, possibility of future problems


## ES Bridges EVM to the Schedule



## ES Bridges EVM to the Schedule



## How Can This Be Used?

- Tasks behind - possibility of impediments or constraints can be identified
- Tasks ahead - a likelihood of future rework can be identified
- The identification is independent from schedule efficiency
- The identification can be automated


# PMs can now have a schedule analysis tool connected to the EVM Data!! 

## Schedule Analysis Example

| Task | PV | PV@ES | EV@AT | EV - PV | I/C or R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 12 | 9 | 5 | -4 | $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 5 | 5 | 3 | -2 | $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | 2 | 5 | +3 | R |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 8 | 1 | 4 | 3 | -1 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 7 | 0 | $1 / \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 5 | 0 | 3 | +1 | R |
| Total | 62 | 40 | 40 | 0 | R |

## Leads to

- Concept of Schedule Adherence
- Most efficient project execution follows the plan
- ES provides a way to measure how closely execution is to the plan
- Schedule Adherence provides a means to refine predictions and forecasts
- Research underway
- Application has begun


## Enhanced Forecasting Example



## - 0 <br> Summary

o Derived from EVM data ... only

- Provides time-based schedule indicators
- Indicators do not fail for late finish projects
- Application is scalable up/down, just as is EVM
- Schedule prediction is better than any other EVM method presently used
- Facilitates bridging EVM analysis to include the Schedule
- Provides capability to understand source of rework and refine forecasts \& predictions


## Available Resources

- PMI-Sydney http://sydney.pmichapters-australia.org.au/
- Repository for ES Papers and Presentations
- Earned Schedule Website
http://www.earnedschedule.com/
- Established February 2006
- Contains News, Papers, Presentations, ES Terminology, ES Calculators
- Identifies Contacts to assist with application
- Wikipedia references Earned Schedule http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Earned Schedule


## - <br> - <br> ES Spreadsheet



## Earned Schedule Calculator (v1)


[^0]:    Subscript C identifies the planned value period at which EVcum $\geq \mathrm{PV}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Cum}$

